Newton Direction in Descent Methods for Optimization Project Proposal

**Project Overview**:

In this project, I will introduce root finding and the motivation for descent methods. I will discuss gradient descent and its limitations on difficult problems and introduce the Newton direction as tool to avoid these limitations. The introductory material will introduce and derive the Newton direction descent method. I will then carry out numerical experiments and implement the Newton descent variations as a tool to improve the cost of the method. The numerical experiments will look at convergence rates, cost, and error to compare the Newton direction and its variations to gradient descent. The extension of this project will investigate portfolio optimization problems using the Newton descent direction and its variations.

**Introductory Material**:

*Introduction*:

Here, I will introduce root finding its applications to different problems. A brief look at gradient descent will be used to further establish the importance of descent methods and to motivate the Newton descent method.

*Mathematical background and derivations*:

This will provide context for the descent direction, then derive the Newton direction. I will include graphs/images to complement the derivation. I will give a detailed description of the requirements for the method to converge. This will establish assumptions, conditions, and convergence rates.

*Implementation and testing*:

*Variations of Newton descent*:

*Conclusions for introductory material*:

**Independent Extension**:

*Introduction*:

For my independent extension, I will be solving a portfolio optimization problem. This will use the Cvxportfolio python package: a portfolio specific extension of cvxpy.

*Derivations and pseudocode for proposed scalar hybrid methods*:

Here, I will further explain Cvxportfolio and its implementation in my extension. This will also involve a description about how my implementation of the Newton direction and the package will work together (inputs/outputs/etc.).

*Numerical experiments*:

After a specific problem has been selected, I will compare the different implementations of Newton descent and gradient descent. The numerical experiments will include analysis of convergence reliability, convergence rates, error, etc. These metrics will be compared

*Potential extensions*:

A potential extension to this problem would involve a real-time optimization solver. As this is real-time (and would thus need to run fast), using the Newton descent variations, that enable faster computation of the Newton, would most be used here. A comparison to see if the Hessian can be computed fast enough for real time solving of the optimization problem would also be considered here.

*Conclusions*:

**Project Timeline**:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Date range | Proposed work |
| Before Nov 1 | Create GitHub and Overleaf repos. Complete project proposal. |
| **Nov 1** | **Project Proposal due** |
| Nov 4 – 8  Nov 9 – 15  Nov 16 – 22 | Discuss proposal with professor and make any necessary changes. |
| **Nov 22** | **Rough Draft due** |
| Nov 23 – 30  Dec 1 – 9  Nov 9 – Dec 17 | Discuss rough draft with professor and make any necessary changes.  Create final presentation.  Make and final edits before the final paper is due. |
| **Dec 17** | **Final Paper due** |

<https://github.com/cvxgrp/cvxportfolio?tab=readme-ov-file>